

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,848	01/08/2004	German Trabada	10121/01301	6030
Fay Kaplun &	7590 01/25/201 Marcin, LLP	EXAMINER		
Suite 702		LANG, AMY T		
150 Broadway New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/753,848	TRABADA ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
AMY LANG	3731				
	10/753,848 Examiner	10/753,848 TRABADA ET AL.  Examiner Art Unit			

	AMY LANG	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Avono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued as 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b     They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. Mor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provous the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.10-13.22.24 and 25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/TODD E. MANAHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3776	/AMY LANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant first argues that Middleman does not discisce the guide track as discinimed and that Middleman with such a guide track. However, Middleman does that Middleman with such a guide track is the steach an anchoring device (30, 56) that moves along tubular elements (18 and 20) (column 5, lines 55-61). Therefore, these tubular elements provide a sort of guide track for the anchoring device. Futhermore, Middleman is not used to provide the same guide track as claimed but merely to show that it is well known in the art for anchoring means to move along a guide track. Next Applicant argues that a drive merely to show that it is well known in the art for anchoring means to move along a guide track. Next Applicant argues that a drive mechanism within the seems as though the balloon inflation interned would prevent inflation of the balloon. This is not found persuative since it would anchoring device so that a drive mechanism within the device would not be detrimental. Such movement would be useful since it would allow the balloon to be anchorined at multiple positions along the guide track. Applicant along surgues that the cited references do not teach the anchoring module located within the anchoring module. Ritcher provides this feature since Ritcher teaches it is well known in the art for advire mechanism to be located within the device. Lastly, Applicant argues that Kindlein and Zeigler fail to teach a threaded member for engaging a contact surface and rotating about the guide track, and wherein the threaded member indudes a threaded him entreacted so that Kindlein teaches drive wheels (31, 33a, 33b, 33c, 33d) that create a hole through which a needle is advanced nertreacted so that Kindlein teaches wheel members that can guide and move a needle. Although Kindlein does not teach the wheels as threaded, such is well known in the art. Zeigler fail to teach the wheels as threaded wheel members (542) that produce rotational mov